61-9690/2

1 8 DEC 1961

Mrs. Arnold Holden

MIE. AIRDIO ROLGEN

Dear Mrs. Holden!

I am in receipt of the telegram, deted November 24th, signed by you, Mrs. Aubrey Bullock-Webster, Mrs. Robert Fonds, Mrs. George Jagels and Mrs. Addison Lacs.

I am informed that the dismissal of the employees in the Security Office of the Department of State to which you refer is part of an overall reduction in force within the Department of State due to budgetary limitations. The individuals you refer to in particular are, therefore, only one group involved in this reduction. As you can appreciate, this is purely an internal matter within the Department of State itself and I can therefore not interject appeals in any way.

Will you please pass this on to my other good friends and joined you in sending the telegram along with my best wishes to each.

Sincerely,

CIGNED

STAT

STAT

O/DCI vgd 5 Decembesch86A. McCone
Distribution:
Director

orig - addressee

1 DCI
1 - ER w/telegram

MOSTIL

lt ...

LASS OF SERVICE This Is a fast message unless its deferred character is indicated by the proper symbol.

W. P. MARSHALL, PREBIDENT

1201 (4-60)

DL = Day Letter

NL=Night Letter

LT=International Letter Telegram

The filing time shown in the date line on domestic telegrams is LOCAL TIME at point of origin, Time of receipt is LOCAL TIME at point of destination

0A517 LC729

LLT340 NL PD=TDL PASADENA CALIF 24=

JOHN A MCCONE, DONT PHONE =

61-9690

:MANY OF US HERE ARE CONCERNED AS TO WHY THE STATE DEPARTMENT IS INTERFERING WITH THE SECURITY OF THE UNITED STATES BY DISMISSING 25 COUNTER-ESPIONAGE EXPERTS DECEMBER 1ST ACCORDING TO HENRY TAYLOR'S TWO RECENT EDITORIALS. WE CAN'T REACH THE PRESIDENT SO CAN YOU INTERCEDE IF IT REALLY SHOULD BE STOPPED ?=

> MRS AUBREY BULLOCK-WEBSTER MRS ROBERT FONDA MRS GEORGE JAGELS MRS ADDISON LUCE MRS

or Release-2002/10/10 : CIA-RDP80B01676R00\$500110005-9

WILL APPRECIATE SUGGESTIONS FROM ITS PATRONS CONCERNING ITS SERVICE

MEMORANDUM FOR: Mr. McCone

I have talked to both _______ and Bob Bannerman in Security about your proposed addition to this letter. They would strongly recommend that you stick to the shorter version and not express an opinion one way or the other as to whether the State Department reorganization will strengthen or lessen security. They feel that noone can positively say at the present time which it will actually do and there is a good deal of feeling on both sides within the State Dept. Our Security people have very carefully refrained from becoming involved on either side of this controversy since they are virtually positive that there will be a good deal of talk about this reorganization among senators and congressmen when Congress convenes next month.

Oknow.

(DATE) // 14 December 61

FORM NO. 101 REPLACES FORM 10-101 1 AUG 54 WHICH MAY BE USED.

(47)

STAT